

Ropers Majeski Kohn & Bentley
A Professional Corporation
Redwood City

RICHARD M. WILLIAMS (SBN 68032)
GREGORY M. GENTILE (SBN 142424)
J. MARK THACKER (SBN 157182)
ROPER, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY
80 North First Street
San Jose, CA 95113
Telephone: (408) 287-6262
Facsimile: (408) 918-4501

Attorneys for Defendant
GLOBAL EQUITY LENDING, INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOLORES A. ARREGUIN, for herself
and other members of the general public
similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

GLOBAL EQUITY LENDING, INC., a
Georgia Corporation; and DOES 1 through
10, Inclusive,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C 07 6026 MHP

~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE: MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS

[CIVIL LOCAL RULE 7-11; JUDGE
PATEL'S STANDING ORDER NO. 4]

Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor

Judge: Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel

Complaint filed: November 29, 2007

The motion of Defendant GLOBAL EQUITY LENDING, INC. ("GLOBAL") for leave to
file a motion to dismiss was submitted to this Court pursuant to Local Rule 7-11.

Having read the motion, the memorandum of points and authorities, and declaration in
support thereof, the Court finds good cause to grant leave for the filing of GLOBAL's motion to
dismiss.

Therefore, it is ordered that GLOBAL's motion to dismiss is hereby deemed filed and set
for hearing concurrently with Defendant GLOBAL's motion to compel arbitration on

March 17, 2008, at 2:00 p.m., Courtroom 15.

Dated: 1/29/08

HON. MARILYN HALL PATEL

RC1/5060170.1/DB

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE: MOTION FOR LEAVE
TO FILE A MOTION TO DISMISS

CASE NO. C 07 6026 MHP

1 Jan A. Greben, CASB# 103464
GREBEN & ASSOCIATES
2 1332 Anacapa Street, Suite 110
Santa Barbara, California 93101
3 Telephone: (805) 963-9090
Facsimile: (805) 963-9098
4 Email: jan@grebenlaw.com

5 Attorneys for Defendants, Cross-Defendants and
Cross-Claimants NAM SUN PARK and
6 SEUNG HEE PARK

7 --

8 Thomas M. Downey, CASB# 142096
Derek H. Lim, CASB# 209496
9 BURNHAM BROWN
A Professional Law Corporation
10 P.O. Box 119
Oakland, California 94604

11 1901 Harrison Street, 11th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
12 Telephone: (510) 444-6800
13 Facsimile: (510) 835-6666
Email: tdowney@burnhambrown.com
14 dlim@burnhambrown.com

15 Attorneys for Defendants, Cross-Defendants and
Cross-Claimants DWIGHT W. PERRY and
16 CARLTON L. PERRY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

19 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

20 BRUCE A. BURROWS and JAMES A.
ROESSLER,

21 Plaintiffs,

22 v.

23 DWIGHT W. PERRY, CARLTON L.
24 PERRY, KWANG SUK LEE, KUI JA LEE,
NAM SUN PARK AND SEUNG HEE
25 PARK,

26 Defendants.

No. C 07-05354 MHP

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE TIME
FOR DEFENDANTS, CROSS-
DEFENDANTS AND CROSS-
CLAIMANTS DWIGHT W. PERRY AND
CARLTON L. PERRY AND NAM SUN
PARK AND SEUNG HEE PARK TO
RESPOND TO THE CROSS-CLAIMS
FILED BY EACH PARTY**

Complaint Filed: October 19, 2007
Trial Date: None Set

27 AND RELATED COUNTER-CLAIMS AND
28 CROSS-CLAIMS

STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR DEF.S/X-DEF.S/X-CLAIMANTS
TO RESPOND TO CROSS-CLAIMS

No. C 07-05354 MHP

1 Defendants, Cross-Defendants and Cross-Claimants Nam Sun Park and Seung Hee Park
 2 (collectively "Parks") and Defendants, Cross-Defendants and Cross-Claimants Dwight W. Perry
 3 and Carlton L. Perry (collectively "Perrys") hereby stipulate by and through their undersigned
 4 counsel of record to extend the time for the Parks and the Perrys to respond to the each others
 5 Cross-Claims. The parties have not previously requested an extension of time to respond to the
 6 Cross-Claims. The Parks will now have up to and including February 19, 2008 to file a response
 7 to the Perry's Cross-Claim. The Perrys will now have up to and including February 11, 2008 to
 8 file a response to Parks' Cross-Claim.

9 DATED: January 28, 2008

GREBEN & ASSOCIATES

10
 11 By 

12 JAN A. GREBEN

13 Attorneys for Defendants, Cross-Defendants and
 14 Cross-Claimants NAM SUN PARK and
 SEUNG HEE PARK

15
 16 DATED: January 28, 2008

BURNHAM | BROWN

17
 18 By 

19 DEREK H. LIM

20 Attorneys for Defendants, Cross-Defendants and
 21 Cross-Claimants DWIGHT W. PERRY and
 CARLTON L. PERRY

22 IT IS SO ORDERED

23
 24 
 25 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26
 27 
 28 DATE

843963

2
 STIPULATION TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR DEF.S/X-DEF.S/X-CLAIMANTS
 TO RESPOND TO CROSS-CLAIMS

No. C 07-05354 MHP

1 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CSBN 44332)
United States Attorney

2 BRIAN J. STRETCH (CSBN 163973)
3 Chief, Criminal Division

4 ALLISON MARSTON DANNER (CSBN 195046)
Assistant United States Attorney

5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
6 San Francisco, California 94102-3495
Telephone: (415) 436-7144
7 FAX: (415) 436-7234
Email: allison.danner@usdoj.gov

8 Attorneys for the United States

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	No. CR 07-0716 MHP
13 Plaintiff,)	
14 v.)	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED
15 PETER LASELL,)	ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM
16 Defendant.)	SPEEDY TRIAL ACT CALCULATION
)	(18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A))

17
18 With the agreement of the parties in open court, and with the consent of defendant Peter
19 Lasell, the Court enters this order documenting exclusion of time from January 28, 2008 through
20 February 25, 2008 under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1). The parties agree, and
21 the Court finds and holds, as follows:

22 1. Defendant made his initial appearance before this Court on November 26, 2007.
23 The parties appeared before this Court for a status conference on January 28, 2008. At the status
24 conference on January 28, 2008, both counsel requested a further status date of
25 February 25, 2008 in order to allow defense counsel to review discovery related to defendant's
26 computer and to conduct further forensics investigation. In light of these discovery issues, the
27 parties jointly requested an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act in order for defense

28 STA Stipulation
CR 07-0716 MHP

1 counsel to prepare effectively for this case.

2 2. Defendant agreed to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to
3 grant the requested continuance would unreasonably deny defendant the reasonable time
4 necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, in this case
5 The Court found that the ends of justice served by excluding the period from January 28, 2008
6 through February 25, 2008 from Speedy Trial Act calculations outweigh the best interest of the
7 public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

8 3. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant at the appearance on January
9 28, 2008, the Court ordered that the period from January 28, 2008 through February 25, 2008 be
10 excluded from Speedy Trial Act calculations. *Id.*

11 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

12
13 DATED: January 28, 2008

14 \s\
JONATHAN D. MCDOUGALL
Attorney for Defendant

15 DATED: January 28, 2008

16 \s\
ALLISON MARSTON DANNER
Assistant United States Attorney

17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20 DATED: 1/29/08

21 [Signature]
HONORABLE MARILYN HALL PATEL
United States District Judge